## **Del Norte County Pesticide Enforcement Program Statistics**

	2008	2009	2010
Operator identification numbers issued/amended <sup>1</sup>	2	0	0
Restricted material permits issued/amended <sup>1</sup>	17	16	14
Permits denied <sup>1</sup>	0	0	0
Multi-year permits <sup>1</sup>	1	1	2
Total permitted sites <sup>1, 2</sup>	134	134	115
Notices of intent (NOI) reviewed <sup>1</sup>	87	77	62
NOI assessed (Pre-application site inspections) <sup>1</sup>	33	28	34
NOI denied <sup>1</sup>	0	0	0
Pounds of pesticide applied (active ingredient) <sup>3</sup>	321,645	4,418	0
Number of agricultural applications <sup>3</sup>	3,755	245	0
Number of non-agricultural applications <sup>3,4</sup>	1,253	6,916	0
Number of all inspections <sup>1</sup>	100	79	71
Unclaimed Gas Tax Distribution <sup>5,6</sup>	\$18,817	\$10,174	\$10,261
Pesticide Mill Assessment <sup>5</sup>	\$61,200	\$62,424	\$64,200

#### Footnotes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Source: Pesticide Regulatory Activities Monthly Report (PRAMR)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Some counties did not report any permitted sites.


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Source: Department of Pesticide Regulation Pesticide Use Report Database


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Analysis of data indicates some problems with reporting and accurately recording these figures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Funding is on a fiscal year basis and is based on the prior year's workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Funding is on a fiscal year basis. This amount represents 36 percent of the full amount distributed by CDFA for all 10 agricultural programs (FAC 2282) and pesticide use enforcement. The 36 percent represents the typical amount dedicated to pesticide use enforcement.

#### Del Norte County Pesticide Regulatory Activities Monthly Report Calendar Year Summary





Source: Calendar Year Queries of the Pesticide Regulatory Activities Monthly Report Database (June 2011)

# Del Norte County Inspection Compliance

l			Caler	ndar Year	2008				Calendar Year 2009 Calendar Year 2010												
		Cr	iteria C	Compliand	е				Cr	iteria C	Compliand	ce				Cr	iteria Coı	mpliand	е		
Inspection Type	Number of Inspections	Items That Did Comply	Items That Did Not Comply	Total Items	Rate	Inspections With a Violation	Inspections with 100% Compliance	Number of Inspections	Items That Did Comply	Items That Did Not Comply	Total Items	Rate	Inspections With a Violation	Inspections with 100% Compliance	Number of Inspections	Items That Did Comply	Items That Did Not Comply	Total Items	Rate	Inspections With a Violation	Inspections with 100% Compliance
Agricultural																					
Field Worker Safety	11	75		75	100.0%		100.0%	5	36	1	37	97.3%	1	80.0%	5	35		35	100.0%		100.0%
Pesticide Use - Application	24	403		403	100.0%		100.0%	16	263	2	265	99.2%	1	93.8%	10	161		161	100.0%		100.0%
Pesticide Use - Mix Load	11	197	1	198	99.5%	1	90.9%	9	156	1	157	99.4%	1	88.9%	7	130		130	100.0%		100.0%
Commodity Fume	0							0							0						
Field Fumigation	3	70		70	100.0%		100.0%	2	46		46	100.0%		100.0%	5	115		115	100.0%		100.0%
Records	8	185		185	100.0%		100.0%	7	198		198	100.0%		100.0%	5	153		153	100.0%		100.0%
Totals:	57	930	1	931	99.9%	1	98.2%	39	699	4	703	99.4%	3	92.3%	32	594		594	100.0%		100.0%
Structural																					
Fumigation	0							0							0						
Non-Fumigation Application	3	57		57	100.0%		100.0%	1	18		18	100.0%		100.0%	3	54		54	100.0%		100.0%
Non-Fumigation Mix Load	0							1	18		18	100.0%		100.0%	0						
Records	0					_		0							0						
Totals:	3	57		57	100.0%		100.0%	2	36		36	100.0%		100.0%	3	54		54	100.0%		100.0%
Overall Totals:	60	987	1	988	99.9%	1	98.3%	41	735	4	739	99.5%	3	92.7%	35	648		648	100.0%		100.0%

## **Del Norte County Closed Compliance Actions and Closed Enforcement Actions**

	20	08	20	09	20	10
	Hours	Numbers	Hours	Numbers	Hours	Numbers
VIII. Compliance Actions						
A. Warning Letters / Violation Notices		0		0		2
B. Cease and Desist Orders		0		0		0
C. Documented Compliance Interviews		0		0		0
Total:	0	0	0	0	4	2
IX. Enforcement Actions						
A. Administrative Action:						
<ol> <li>Restricted Materials Permit</li> </ol>		0		0		0
2. Private Applicator Certifications		0		0		0
3. County Registrations		0		0		0
4. Structural Civil Penalties		0		0		0
5. Agricultural Civil Penalties		0		0		0
B. Judicial Actions:						
1. Notice to Appear (Citations)		0		0		0
2. Cases Submitted to DA		0		0		0
3. Civil Complaints Filed		0		0		0
4. Criminal Complaints Filed		0		0		0
C. Referrals to DPR		0		0		0
Total:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Note: Compliance and Enforcement actions are not necessarily taken in the same fiscal year when the violation occurs. Additionally, some actions may be due to discovery of violations by means other than inspections such as through investigations.

Source: Calendar Year Queries of the Pesticide Regulatory Activities Monthly Report Database (June 2011)

### Most Common Agricultural Inspection Violations

	2008	Criteria Eval	uated	2009	Criteria Eva	luated	2010	Criteria Eval	luated	Overa	ll 2008 - 20	10 Criteria I	Evaluated
Elements Evaluated	Met	Not Met	Total	Met	Not Met	Total	Met	Not Met	Total	Met	Not Met	Total	Percent Compliance
Closed System Used / Criteria - "Danger"	5	1	6	4	1	5	4	0	4	13	2	15	86.67
Regulations - Personal Protective Equipment	42	0	42	31	1	32	27	0	27	100	1	101	99.01
Follows Labeling and/or Permit Conditions	100	0	100	72	1	73	59	0	59	231	1	232	99.57
Notice Prior to Application	7	0	7	6	1	7	6	0	6	19	1	20	95.00

## Most Common Structural Inspection Violations

			P	Percent
Elements Evaluated Met Not Met Total Met Not Met Total Met Not Met Total N	t Not Me	Met Not	et Total Cor	mpliance

There were no structural inspection violations reported in this county between 2008 and 2010.

Inspection numbers only include completed non-oversight inspections to DPR.

Values for some criteria can not be compared year to year due to revisions to the criteria and conversion to a new inspection program in 2010.